

PHOTO BY TED AUCH PHD. GREAT LAKES PROGRAM COORDINATOR & STAFF PHOTOGRAPHER, MARCH 12, 2019 PROVIDED BY FRACKTRACKER ALLIANCE. FRACTRACKER.ORG/PHOTOS.

# **Cracked: The Case For Green Jobs Over Petrochemicals In Pennsylvania**

While the national economy struggled to recover from the Great Recession, wage and employment growth in Pennsylvania was anemic. This experience mirrored national trends of increasing inequality and a hollowing out of the middle class. Despite the state's aggressive embrace of fracking as a driver of economic growth, fracking jobs remain scarce and temporary. As frackers suffocate in a glut of natural gas (including ethane) and as Pennsylvanians struggle with the environmental damage wrought by fracking and other dirty industries, Pennsylvania lawmakers are attempting to artificially sustain the boom by offering lucrative concessions to mega-corporations and dirty petrochemical producers.

Doubling down on toxic industries won't fix the region's economic woes, but will instead foreclose opportunities for long-term, sustainable growth through green energy manufacturing. Given the economic uncertainties of the coronavirus pandemic, an aggressive commitment to public works investment in green energy is more important now than ever. Solar, wind and energy efficiency are necessary to avert catastrophic climate change. Wind and solar manufacturing would also employ more people than comparable investments in oil, gas, coal or plastics.

## **Key Findings**

- Despite the unprecedented \$1.65 billion tax giveaway used to attract Shell's ethane cracker, the facility will only employ 600 workers. Attracting a comparably-sized investment in wind and/or solar manufacturing would employ 16,500.
- The fracking boom only boosted employment by about 18,300 in Pennsylvania. With another bust already in progress, fracking cannot provide a sustainable pathway to prosperity or an adequate



solution to the deindustrialization that has imperiled the region for decades.

- Growth and investment alone will not lower unemployment. Food & Water Watch found that from 2010 to 2018, Pennsylvanian counties with lower GDP growth saw employment gains similar to those with higher GDP growth.
- Tax cuts for the largest corporations in the world strengthen corporate power, increase inequality and dampen job growth by encouraging speculation instead of employment.

## Appalachia Suffers from Uneven, Inequitable and Unsustainable Growth

As the national economy teeters on the brink of collapse because of the pandemic, many communities and regions had already been left behind by the slow and largely jobless recovery of the previous decade. The sluggish recovery brought small raises for the lowest-income workers (and large raises for the rich), but many struggled to find good-paying work.<sup>1</sup> Despite strong GDP growth, Americans remained trapped in cycles of short-term, no-benefit jobs.<sup>2</sup> Policy inaction and accumulation by the wealthy enables this stagnation. Rather than investing in infrastructure and long-term growth, local governments cut high-publicity deals for short-term infusions of construction jobs. Pennsylvania's \$1.65 billion giveaway for Shell's mega-petrochemical complex in Beaver County epitomizes this trend.<sup>3</sup> These handouts create jobs for a lucky few, but increase inequality, drive unemployment and impoverish public coffers.

Ever since the wells at Pithole went dry in the 1860s, Western Pennsylvania has been whipsawed by the cyclical booms and busts of oil, gas and coal extraction.<sup>4</sup> The toxic legacies of these industries outlast the jobs, leaving the region with polluted water, health complications and weak employment prospects.<sup>5</sup> Communities plagued by fracking experience welldocumented and severe environmental impacts.<sup>6</sup> Harms fall disproportionately on frontline communities that are more likely to be rural, lower income and/or communities of color.<sup>7</sup> Even before the latest oil price collapse, frackers were faltering in a tide of natural gas and natural gas liquids like ethane.<sup>8</sup> Despite obvious weaknesses in the industry, a wave of new petrochemical facilities, such as Shell's Beaver County ethane cracker, promises to buoy demand for ethane and revitalize the region.<sup>9</sup>

Fracking's looming failures will lead to a redoubling of efforts to rescue the industry, with some even calling for direct financial assistance.<sup>10</sup> Even before the latest crisis, frackers were struggling; some had begun significant layoffs.<sup>11</sup> Now, an OPEC price war, weakening demand from global lockdowns and a looming depression threaten to decimate already unprofitable fracking companies, with mass layoffs and bankruptcies on the horizon.<sup>12</sup>

# Toxic Assets: Dirty industry can't sustain employment

Propping up fracking by subsidizing petrochemicals makes a bad deal worse. A study published in the journal Nature Sustainability found that the economic harms from fracking's air pollution alone (responsible for up to 4,600 deaths) outweighed the employment benefits in the Appalachian shale basin.<sup>13</sup> While the jobs only last as long as a boom, the climate effects and toxic wastewater are an ongoing legacy.<sup>14</sup>

Despite sustained unemployment and slow wage growth in Pennsylvania, some politicians claim that fracking has brought an economic renaissance.<sup>15</sup> Food & Water Watch has extensively documented the flawed methodologies that back up these claims, showing that the jobs created fall short of the number promised.<sup>16</sup> In reality, employment directly related to oil and natural gas production and transportation in Pennsylvania rose from 7,633 (2001-2006) to 25,960 (2016-2018), a change of 18,327; this is a plausible estimate of fracking's employment impact.<sup>17</sup> However, this is only 3.3 percent of the number of jobs the American Petroleum Institute claims would be lost after a fracking ban.<sup>18</sup> These jobs also come at the cost of green jobs. Developers admit that the region would see significantly more wind and solar jobs if not for abundant fracked gas.<sup>19</sup> Lucrative shale gas jobs also drive high-school dropout rates, undermining a skill-base capable of supporting long-term

growth.<sup>20</sup> Once resource booms end, fossil fueldependent counties are often worse off than before the boom.<sup>21</sup>

This new petrochemical burden would reinforce a long legacy of boom and bust pollution. Lowerincome communities in Appalachia are prone to having toxic neighbors and being disproportionately harmed by pollution. Rural pollution can originate from industrial facilities, factory farms or resource extraction like mining and drilling — all of which contribute to environmental and health disparities for lower-income rural residents.<sup>22</sup> Despite Appalachia's plentiful fossil fuel resources, it is not a center of economic prosperity. Rather, the region's natural resource exploitation is linked to "a history of marginalization, extraction-related health issues, and a cycle of poverty."<sup>23</sup>

Petrochemical manufacturing can't support long-term growth because it merely shifts the gas glut down the supply chain. New ethane crackers power a growing oversupply of single-use plastic that's choking our oceans.<sup>24</sup> Even current levels of plastic production mean that we won't meet climate goals and preserve a safe environment.<sup>25</sup> Either plastic production must decline or climate instability will undermine the global market for plastic consumption.<sup>26</sup>

## Pennsylvania Needs shared prosperity, Not Unequal Growth

Petrochemical tax breaks for large multinational corporations will increase inequality at public expense. These subsidies could facilitate growth without creating jobs. Job growth is determined by macroeconomic factors like innovation and inequality, whereas local growth distributes rather than creates jobs.<sup>27</sup> Without macroeconomic improvements, local hiring may be offset by an influx of out-of-state workers or restricted to a narrow, lucky few who benefit from all of the added income - both common phenomena in the fossil fuel and petrochemical sectors.<sup>28</sup> Existing petrochemical zones still face significant unemployment. For example, Port Arthur, Texas has a developed petrochemical industry and an unemployment rate twice as high as the state average.29



Despite a decade of GDP growth, Pennsylvania suffers from high unemployment. From 2008 to 2018, its job growth (3.1 percent) has lagged both national job growth rates (7.6 percent) and job growth in most neighboring states.<sup>30</sup> For example, New York and Maryland (states where fracking is banned) had job growth rates of 9.1 and 4.9 percent respectively.<sup>31</sup> Despite lagging job growth, overall economic performance has been strong; in 2018, Pennsylvania's economy generated \$56,000 for every adult and child.<sup>32</sup> Within Pennsylvania, job growth has gravitated toward Pittsburgh, Philadelphia and their suburbs, while employment remains below pre-recession levels in much of western Pennsylvania.<sup>33</sup> Despite promises that the Trump administration would bring back steel, manufacturing employment in the Pittsburgh area fell to record lows in 2019.34 Meanwhile, nearly 80 percent of job growth there has been in the (typically low-wage) service sector.35

Our analysis shows that between 2010 and 2018, improvement in the employment rate in Pennsylvania counties was not determined by GDP growth.<sup>36</sup> While Pennsylvania saw lower unemployment across the board, counties with strong growth did not necessarily see a faster reduction in unemployment. In western Pennsylvania, investment hasn't corresponded to employment. In 2018, the 10-county Pittsburgh region saw 32 percent less capital investment than 2017, but 15 percent more new jobs.<sup>37</sup>

While growth is unrelated to unemployment, growing wealth and income inequality contributes to a jobless recovery. Because the rich disproportionately hoard rather than spend their income, inequality lowers the employment potential of the economy. In fact, strong evidence suggests that rising inequality since the 1980s is to blame for the Great Recession and sluggish recovery.<sup>38</sup> (Shell helped lay the groundwork for this power grab by playing a critical role in breaking refining and chemical union power in the early 1960s.)<sup>39</sup> Rather than spending on manufactured goods, the rich spend additional money on financial speculation, creating crises.<sup>40</sup> In addition to worsening inequality, corporate subsidies actually discourage employment. When companies receive incentives for investment, they invest in labor-saving technologies and real estate, not new jobs.<sup>41</sup>

Rising corporate power and inequality are at the core of Pennsylvania's struggles. In the 1980s, Pennsylvania's regional economy collapsed when the steel industry was largely offshored;<sup>42</sup> companies that stayed in the U.S. used the threat of closure to drive down wages and extract expensive tax concessions.<sup>43</sup> Despite the shale boom, Beaver County continues to lose manufacturing jobs as plants close and often move their operations out of state.<sup>44</sup>

#### Shell's Beaver County handout is the latest in an expensive history of failed tax deals

Pennsylvania has a long track record of using taxpayer money to lure rich multinational corporations. In 1976, Pennsylvania attracted a Volkswagen plant to Westmoreland (30 miles from Pittsburgh) after the state offered \$70 million in incentives.<sup>45</sup> The deal was significant at the time, attracting Volkswagen's \$250 million in investment using a low-interest loan and five years of exemption from local taxes.<sup>46</sup> The plant employed 5,700 workers at its peak, but after several years was operating at half capacity.<sup>47</sup> Barely 10 years after it opened, Volkswagen shuttered the plant, laying off the remaining workers.<sup>48</sup>

In 1997, Pennsylvania and the federal government gave \$400 million to European shipbuilder Kvaerner for a Philadelphia shipyard that would employ 1,000. After long delays, the project required a \$40 million bailout to keep it open.<sup>49</sup> In 2000, Pennsylvania signed a \$55.5 million deal to keep corporate offices of Vanguard Group from moving out of state.<sup>50</sup> Pennsylvania even shelled out \$40 million in grants for a new Comcast skyscraper in 2014.<sup>51</sup> The latest tax breaks are larger and bring fewer jobs per dollar. Shell, the second largest Fortune Global 500 energy company, received the largest tax break in recent Pennsylvania history.<sup>52</sup> According to a Good Jobs First database of corporate subsidies, during the past decade Pennsylvania's handout to Shell was the ninth-largest deal in the nation.<sup>53</sup> This \$1.65 billion handout goes to Shell's \$6 billion plant that will only create 600 permanent jobs.<sup>54</sup> Pennsylvania is paying \$2.75 million for every long-term job created, enough to pay every worker at Shell's plant a \$90,000 salary for 30 years.<sup>55</sup>

To make matters worse, in July 2020 the Pennsylvania legislature passed a sweeping set of tax credits to double down on petrochemicals as a solution to the region's gas glut. The proposal authorizes about \$167 million in credits for four separate companies that use dry natural gas (methane) to make petrochemicals or fertilizer. (This means that Shell's wet gas-fueled ethane cracker wouldn't qualify.) While the handout will cost the state as much as \$667 million in lost revenue, the state only requires the companies create 800 jobs, counting temporary construction jobs toward this total.<sup>56</sup>

## Shell is spending billions of dollars to create hundreds of jobs

The unprecedented spending on Shell's ethane cracker was sold using absurd job promises. At an event in June 2012, the Corbett administration said that the project could create up to 20,000 jobs.<sup>57</sup> This number appears cribbed from the American Chemistry Council's finding that the plant could directly and indirectly create 17,541 new permanent jobs. This projection assumed that Shell would directly employ 2,396 people, four times the plant's actual payroll.<sup>58</sup> State officials defended the 20,000 number by pointing to state estimates, but those projections lowered job numbers by over 10,000.<sup>59</sup>

As the project progressed, even temporary job estimates fell. From 2012 to 2014, Shell said that the plant could create up to 10,000 construction jobs.<sup>60</sup> Now that the plant is under construction, Shell admits that construction will employ only 6,000 — and only during the peak of construction.<sup>61</sup>

Much-touted spillover jobs are limited by Shell's labor outsourcing. Despite Governor Wolf's claim that the construction jobs would go to Pennsylvanians, construction may primarily benefit out-of-state workers.<sup>62</sup> (Wolf's eagerness to promote fracking has even earned him praise from the Marcellus Shale Coalition, a fracking booster.)<sup>63</sup> The Shell plant is being built by Bechtel, a multinational corporation that does most of its own construction and prefers to work through pre-existing, rather than local, relationships.<sup>64</sup> Moreover, many of the 380 electricians working on the plant relocated from out-of-state.<sup>65</sup>

Building material purchases, a potential source of trickle-down jobs, are going to out-of-state industries. Shell constructed two river docks to import fully constructed pieces by barge.<sup>66</sup> This allowed Shell to bring in the largest element, the quench tower, fully assembled.<sup>67</sup> Petrochemical companies have even begun importing plants that were almost entirely preassembled.<sup>68</sup> While many of Shell's supply chains are opaque, Shell is importing thousands of tons of steel from countries like China and Brazil.<sup>69</sup> When asked, Shell refused to disclose how much imported steel the plant uses. A nearby fabrication business said that it got no new business from Shell's plant.<sup>70</sup>

## Petrochemicals Power Unsustainable Development

#### Private gain, public costs

The long-term jobs at Shell will benefit a few lucky workers with trickle-down scraps for the region. Shell's jobs require extensive credentials<sup>83</sup> and an average of five years of relevant experience.<sup>84</sup> Even the "entry level" rungs will be out of reach without specific qualifications. Maintenance workers (welders and machine operators) will need related experience, operations workers will need two-year associates degrees in process technology and management will need four-year or graduate chemical engineering degrees.<sup>85</sup> Shell doesn't know how many of the permanent jobs are going to locals, but more than half are already filled by out-of-state workers.<sup>86</sup> Many locals, including social service officials, don't know anyone who's landed one of the elusive jobs.<sup>87</sup>

#### **Appalachian Petrochemical Boom on the Horizon**

Across Appalachia, petrochemical plants are being proposed and propped up by pro-industry decision makers. The American Chemistry Council suggests that the Appalachian shale basin could support up to nine crackers.<sup>71</sup> These polluting projects are being promoted as the panacea to economic woes.

In Belmont County, Ohio, PTT Global Chemical (the petrochemical arm of the state-owned Thai oil and gas company PTT) is planning a new ethane cracker which state officials say could bring "hundreds of jobs."<sup>72</sup> The proposed plant would use 90,000 barrels per day (b/d) of ethane to produce 1.5 million metric tons of ethylene per year.<sup>73</sup> Ohio has already lavished \$50 million in grants toward site preparation.<sup>74</sup> But PTT's environmental track record is concerning.<sup>75</sup> PTT has also been implicated in corruption; company officials rigged bids after accepting more than \$11 million in bribes from Rolls-Royce.<sup>76</sup>

Exxon has also begun pursuing a petrochemical cracker in Appalachia, looking for a 240-acre flat site with river access.<sup>77</sup> Exxon has scouted sites in Beaver, Washington and Greene counties.<sup>78</sup> A 2013 investigation found that Exxon's petro-chemical complex in Baton Rouge released four million pounds of volatile organic compounds between 2008 and 2011, without permission from the government.<sup>79</sup> In 2019, a National Labor Relations Board administrative law judge found Exxon engaged in multiple unfair labor practices when bargaining with workers in New Jersey.<sup>80</sup>

Other plans have included a Braskem petrochemical facility in Parkersburg, West Virginia (which recently fell through).<sup>81</sup> And in early 2020, Elis Energy announced a potential methanol production facility in northeastern Pennsylvania.<sup>82</sup> While steel mills of Pennsylvania's past provided numerous middle class job opportunities to a large number of workers with and without credentials, cracker plants offer a small number of high-wage specialized jobs.<sup>88</sup> Spillovers from high-wage jobs tend to be poorly paid service jobs rather than middle-skill jobs, and wage increases are often less than the increased cost of living.<sup>89</sup> Additionally, foregone revenue from tax incentives could mean either higher taxes or a reduction in public services.<sup>90</sup>

Petrochemical development also strains public infrastructure. The Ambridge Water Authority, the water provider for 30,000 customers in Beaver County, opposed the Falcon ethane pipeline (which supplies the Shell plant) because a leak near its reservoir would be "devastating" to its water supply.<sup>91</sup> This fear is well warranted. Pipelines built since 2010 are five times as likely to have problems than those built from 1980 to 2009, possibly because the rush to complete pipelines during the fracking boom encouraged corner-cutting during construction.<sup>92</sup> In fact, pipeline incidents have already impacted Beaver County; a 2018 explosion destroyed a house and prompted an evacuation. State regulators later found that the company built on land it knew to be unstable and erosion-prone.93

The Keystone Opportunity Zone Act allows the plant to avoid local taxes for 15 years.<sup>94</sup> For scale, the previous occupant of Shell's location paid property taxes of \$275,000 to the Central Valley School District and \$40,000 to Potter Township — seven percent of the town's budget, or the entire volunteer fire department budget. Local officials have said that the revenue loss is particularly difficult given the need for sewer and water updates to accommodate the petrochemical facility.<sup>95</sup> These new costs could have a serious impact on already stressed county finances. Beaver County's municipal bond credit rating fell twice between 2016 and 2018 while the county raised property taxes 17 percent.<sup>96</sup>

Geologists predict a huge number of abandoned unplugged wells as a result of the Marcellus boom, saying that the state doesn't have the funding to address the issue.<sup>97</sup> Pennsylvania is already home to as many 750,000 abandoned wells, which leak methane, pollute groundwater and sometimes cause explosions.<sup>98</sup> Plugging orphaned wells can cost the state up to \$100,000 per well.<sup>99</sup> Meanwhile, the buildout of new crackers will compound existing air pollution problems in the region, releasing volatile organic compounds, carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides and other toxins. Beaver County already has some of the worst air quality in the nation, according to the American Lung Association.<sup>100</sup>

#### **Real Solutions: Green Growth and Full Employment**

Instead of doubling down on more polluting fossil fuel and chemical facilities, Pennsylvania should massively invest in clean energy. Renewable energy manufacturing tends to be located in traditional manufacturing areas.<sup>101</sup> Green energy jobs could begin to address the widening income and wealth inequality that has made it impossible for working families to get ahead. These aren't make-work jobs — a dramatic economic reorientation to 100 percent renewable energy is necessary to stave off the worsening effects of this climate catastrophe.<sup>102</sup>

Technology exists to support a transition to 100 percent clean, renewable energy backed up by storage and transmission at prices lower than current energy costs.<sup>103</sup> Including federal subsidies, current wind and solar power purchase agreements are often cheaper than natural gas.<sup>104</sup> While some contend that renewables require dispatchable generation to function, a variety of energy storage technologies can provide cost-effective, reliable, long-term backup for a 100 percent renewable energy system.<sup>105</sup> Solar and wind energy are well-suited to large-scale manufacturing necessary to meet "crash decarbonization" timelines.<sup>106</sup>

In 2019, Pennsylvania wind and solar employed 2,815 and 4,219 people respectively, more than either coal or natural gas plants.<sup>107</sup> Nationally, the BLS projects that solar photovoltaic installer and wind turbine service technician will be the two fastest growing professions in the U.S.<sup>108</sup> Jobs in wind, solar and building efficiency include installation, construction and manufacturing jobs. Both renewable energy and energy efficiency jobs tend to be inherently localized and domestic, and are almost impossible to outsource.<sup>109</sup> In just two years, energy efficiency jobs grew by 10 percent, employing more than 68,000 Pennsylvanians in 2019.<sup>110</sup>

An investment in renewable energy manufacturing that is proportionate to the size of Shell's ethane cracker tax break would create substantially more jobs. Attracting a comparably-sized investment in solar and/or wind manufacturing would employ around 16,500.<sup>111</sup>

But subsidies and tax breaks alone are unlikely to attract manufacturers. Subsidies have little impact on the siting of an investment/facility and incentives.<sup>112</sup> Rather, surveys find amenities like parks and schools play an important role because the managers and executives who make siting decisions often relocate to the factory site.<sup>113</sup> Local demand is another key consideration. Renewable manufacturers are significantly more likely to locate in states with aggressive renewable energy deployment policies, such as strong renewable portfolio standards. When renewable portfolio standards mandate renewables, electricity prices may actually fall.<sup>114</sup>

#### Wind jobs

Wind energy provides well-paying jobs for both rural and urban residents. These jobs are educationally diverse, providing employment opportunities to applicants with a wide range of qualifications.<sup>115</sup> That's because each component of a wind turbine (like blades, nacelle, tower, etc.) has to be individually designed and created.<sup>116</sup> According to the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, manufacturers receive approximately 75 percent of every dollar invested in new wind energy.<sup>117</sup> Large portions of this supply chain are domestic, because many wind energy components are hard to transport.<sup>118</sup>

Pennsylvania is well-suited for wind energy manufacturing. As of 2016, there were more than 500 wind-related manufacturing facilities in the U.S., employing 25,000 manufacturing workers, with more production plants being built in Pennsylvania and Colorado. These facilities produce wind turbines and their components, including nacelles, blades, towers and gearboxes.<sup>119</sup> The Rust Belt is emerging as a national leader in wind manufacturing, with Ohio leading the nation with 60 wind-related manufacturing plants. Other leaders include Illinois with 35, and Pennsylvania, Michigan and Wisconsin (26).<sup>120</sup> Pennsylvanian manufacturers are positioned to benefit from wind manufacturing as companies already produce main shaft bearings, component castings, fasteners, pitch control systems and A/C drives in the state.<sup>121</sup>

Pennsylvania has already successfully created jobs by attracting wind manufacturing. In 2006, Pennsylvania used \$10 million in grants, loans and tax credits to attract a wind turbine manufacturing company, which by 2011 employed 800. While employment fell short of the 1,250 originally promised due to the recession, total jobs cost the state \$12,500, or 0.4 percent of the cost of the Beaver County petrochemical jobs.<sup>122</sup>

In addition to manufacturing jobs, installing wind energy in 2018 supported approximately 600 construction and transportation jobs for every 100 megawatts deployed.<sup>123</sup> Operation and maintenance also employ five to seven technicians for every 100 megawatts for the entire lifetime of a turbine.<sup>124</sup> In Pennsylvania, the average salary for maintenance jobs is \$59,000.<sup>125</sup>

Pennsylvania already produces more than 1,450 megawatts of wind energy, enough electricity for more than 350,000 houses.<sup>126</sup> While existing wind farms are in the northeast and southwest central portions of the state, substantial wind resources exist along the coast of Lake Erie.<sup>127</sup> The DOE estimates that Pennsylvania could support 3 gigawatts of onshore and 6 gigawatts of offshore wind.<sup>128</sup> Technological improvements could unlock up to 43 gigawatts of wind in Pennsylvania.<sup>129</sup>

#### Solar jobs

From 2014 to 2019, solar employment grew at five times the rate of the economy. Many of these jobs are available without advanced degrees; only 32 percent of solar manufacturing hires in 2019 had a bachelor's degree or higher.<sup>130</sup> Currently, solar panel manufacturing relies on extensive supply chains for different photovoltaic technology components. Since solar glass is expensive to transport, glass manufacturers tend to collocate with panel producers.<sup>131</sup> Solar manufacturing is already prominent in the region.<sup>132</sup> For example, First Solar's \$400 million photovoltaic plant in Lake Township, Ohio employs 500 people to produce 1.3 gigawatts of solar annually. Built in only 18 months, the plant is sold out through 2021.<sup>133</sup> In addition, the factory spurred the construction of a nearby transparent conductive oxide (TCO) plant, employing 125 to 150 people.<sup>134</sup> Other regions have demonstrated the benefits of solar manufacturing. In September 2019, Hanwa Q opened its \$200 million, 1.7 gigawatt solar cell facility in Dalton, Georgia. The plant provides well-paying jobs for 650 employees in a region left struggling after the decline of its carpeting industry.<sup>135</sup>

Pennsylvania is well-suited to substantial solar power development. Technology supports more than 400 gigawatts of solar capacity in Pennsylvania.136 However, Pennsylvania solar installation lags behind nearly two dozen states and only produces a fraction of its electricity from solar.<sup>137</sup> In 2017, many of the nearly 70 people that attended a visioning session in Beaver County expressed an interest in expanding small-scale solar projects.<sup>138</sup> Pennsylvania solar benefits from a well-developed local supply chain of manufacturers, installers, developers and abundant land.<sup>139</sup> The jobs created to install these projects pay an average of \$46,000.<sup>140</sup> A 2018, Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection study found that meeting a mere 10 percent of Pennsylvania's electricity demand with solar could create more than 115,000 construction jobs and up to 1,700 permanent operating jobs.141



#### **Energy efficiency**

Most energy efficiency jobs are in construction -1.27 million workers in 2017 (about 18 percent of all construction workers).<sup>142</sup> These jobs are inherently localized and domestic; they are almost impossible to outsource and exist across the country in both rural and metropolitan areas.<sup>143</sup> Another 300,000 manufacturing workers made energy-efficient appliances, lighting and other equipment.<sup>144</sup> For example, energyefficient window manufacturer Andersen Corporation is investing \$105 million to create approximately 415 jobs in Phoenix, Arizona.<sup>145</sup> Geothermal heat pumps are another promising energy efficiency technology. Deploying energy-efficient geothermal heat pumps could help reduce household electricity use and provide employment similar to what oilfield workers are trained to do. Geothermal development could also provide jobs for those from the oil and gas industry, as the technology involves drilling and well services work that's similar to the petroleum industry.146

There are energy efficiency jobs at every skill and wage level.<sup>147</sup> Some of these jobs are in higher-wage, capital-intensive industries, and many pay above-average wages.<sup>148</sup> For entry level, lower-skill workers, many of the most common energy efficiency jobs in the construction industries pay considerably more than the typical pay for workers with high school degrees or less — at least 50 percent more for typical manufacturing workers and nearly double for plumbers and heating/air conditioning workers.<sup>149</sup>

# Invest in Green Energy to Invest in Communities

Building more petrochemical plants like Shell's ethane cracker is a Band-Aid fix for the uneconomical oil and gas industry that is propped up by Wall Street and government handouts. With the growing oil and gas glut, the industry needs this infrastructure more than ever. But chasing more unsustainable levels of fracked gas production only deepens dependency and worsens another inevitable crash. Moreover, giveaways to polluting mega-petrochemical corporations lock us into decades more of climate change and creates piles of unneccessary plastic garbage while reinforcing inequality and cementing slow job growth. The grandiose promises of these high-profile investments rarely pay off. Rather, they hollow out public coffers and prop up billionare CEOs.

Real investment in green manufacturing and clean energy through good public policy makes sense for the economy, the climate and the widening inequality gap. It can also alleviate the pollution burdens faced by frontline communities.

The most effective way to ensure the transition to a green economy is through a large-scale buildout of publicly-owned renewable electricity. This should include a comprehensive, New Deal-scale green public works program that guarantees employment for fossil fuel workers that would bear a disproportionate economic brunt of decarbonization. The program must prioritize the procurement of American-made renewable energy and energy-efficient equipment, materials and appliances.

In the interim, state, county and local governments could help foster a green jobs renaissance by implementing their own green public works programs, and by strengthening and regularly upgrading building codes to ensure that newly constructed buildings are energy-efficient and utilize rooftop solar. Communitylabor partnerships should be established to recruit and train workers from disadvantaged communities where much of the energy efficiency retrofitting must take place. And fully-funded, high-quality job training is needed to ensure that green jobs provide career opportunities, including fair and just transition programs for fossil fuel and petrochemical workers.

## Methodology

Food & Water Watch calculated the job creation potential of using the Shell ethane cracker tax incentive to attract clean energy. While Food & Water Watch is skeptical of the link between tax incentives and industrial siting choices, we assumed that a \$1.65 billion tax break would be used to attract a comparable investment (\$6 billion)<sup>150</sup> in renewable energy manufacturing. This is a very conservative assumption, as most large tax breaks in Pennsylvania's history (See section "Shell's Beaver County handout is the latest in an expensive history of failed tax deals" on page 4) attracted a much larger investment per dollar of tax breaks.

Food & Water Watch used publicly available information from press releases, news coverage and industry disclosures to estimate the average investment and job creation potential of wind and solar facilities announced or built from 2015 to 2020. Food & Water Watch found that solar manufacturing facilities created approximately 2.1 jobs per \$1 million of investment and that wind manufacturing facilities create 6.9 jobs per \$1 million. These estimates are consistent with academic literature on the jobs impact of the broader wind and solar industries. A 2017 literature review found that every \$1 million spent on solar creates 4.26 direct jobs and that every \$1 million invested in wind energy directly employs four people, before considering secondary effects from the investment.<sup>151</sup> All of these estimates compare favorably to the 0.1 permanent jobs created for every \$1 million invested in Shell's petrochemical facility.

#### **Endnotes**

- Cohen, Patricia. "Lots of job hunting, but no job, despite low unemployment." New York Times. October 31, 2019; Congressional Research Service. "Real Wage Trends, 1979 to 2018." July 23, 2019 at 4; Desilver, Drew. Pew Research Center. "For Most U.S. Workers, Real Wages Have Barely Budged in Decades." August 7, 2018.
- 2 Cohen (2019).
- 3 Detrow, Scott. "Corbett Administration sells ethane cracker tax break; Secretary says Shell asked for it." *StateImpact Pennsylvania*. June 14, 2012.
- 4 Yergin, Daniel. (1991). The Prize: The Epic Quest for Oil, Money, and Power. New York: Simon & Schuster at 29 to 34.
- 5 McAuley, Steven D. and Mark D. Kozar. United States Geological Survey. National Water-Quality Assessment Program. "Ground-Water Quality in Unmined Areas and Near Reclaimed Surface Coal Mines in the Northern and Central Appalachian Coal Regions, Pennsylvania and West Virginia." Scientific Investigations Report 2006-5059. 2006 at 1; Gorski, Irena and Brain S. Schwartz. "Environmental health concerns from unconventional natural gas development." Oxford Research Encyclopedia Global Public Health. February 2019 at 11 and 39; Palmer, M.A. et al. "Mountaintop mining consequences." Science. Vol. 327. January 2010 at 148; Ahern, Melissa M. et al. "The association between mountaintop mining and birth defects among live births in central Appalachia, 1996-2003." Environmental Research. Vol. 111, Iss. 6. August 2011 at 838; Fitzpatrick, Luke G. "Surface coal mining and human health: Evidence from West Virginia." Southern Economic Journal. Vol. 84, Iss. 4. March 2018 at 1122; Mayfield, Erin N. et al. "Cumulative environmental and employment impacts of the shale gas boom." Nature Sustainability. Vol. 2. December 2019 at 1122; Alter, Theodore R. et al. Penn State. Center for Economic and Community Development. "Pennsylvania: Bust to Boom? Great Recession to Recovery & Beyond." June 2019 at 3; Greenberg, Pierce. "Disproportionality and resource-based environmental inequality: An analysis of neighborhood proximity to coal impoundments in Appalachia." Rural Sociology. Vol. 82, Iss. 1. March 2017 at 149.
- 6 Gorski and Schwartz (2019) at 11 and 39.
- 7 Castelli, Matthew. "Fracking and the rural poor: Negative externalities, failing remedies, and federal legislation." *Indiana Journal of Law and Social Equality*. Vol. 3, Iss. 2. May 2015 at 281, 285 to 287; Zwickl, Klara. "The demographics of fracking: A spatial analysis for four U.S. states." *Ecological Economics*. Vol. 161. July 2019 at 202.
- 8 Elliot, Rebecca and Bradley Olson. "A leader of America's fracking boom has second thoughts; Pioneer Natural Resources once promised production to rival Libya. Now it's pulling back." *Wall Street Journal*. June 24, 2019; Laughlin, Lauren Silva. "Natural gas is stuck in a vicious cycle." *Wall Street Journal*. July 30, 2019; Gillispie, Mark. "Ethane storage seen as key to revitalization of Appalachia." *Associated Press*. April 29, 2019.
- 9 Frazier, Reid. "Abundant supplies of Marcellus Shale gas could lead to more chemical plants." StateImpact Pennsylvania. June 19, 2017; Gillispie (2019); Hurdle, Jon. "Shell says giant ethane cracker plant will go ahead near Pittsburgh." StateImpact Pennsylvania. June 7, 2016; Jacobs, Nicole. Independent Petroleum Association of America. "Shell's Appalachian Basin cracker facility will bring thousands of jobs, manufacturing to region." Energy in Depth. September 29, 2017.
- 10 Stein, Jeff et al. "White House likely to pursue federal aid for shale companies hit by oil shock, coronavirus downturn." *Washington Post*. March 10, 2020.
- 11 Huba, Stephen. "Amid lower natural gas prices, Chevron Appalachia to begin layoffs at Moon headquarters." *TribLIVE*. February 24, 2020.
- 12 Brower, Derek. "Cash-strapped US shale producers pray for Opec aid." *Financial Times*. March 3, 2020; Takahashi, Paul. "Houston oil companies act fast to protect bottom lines in wake of biggest crash in 30 years." *Houston Chronicle*. March 11, 2020.

- 13 Mayfield et al. (2019) at 1122.
- 14 Ibid.; Jiang, Mohan et al. "Life cycle water consumption and wastewater generation impacts of a Marcellus Shale gas well." Environmental Science & Technology. Vol. 48. December 2013 at 1911.
- 15 Routh, Julian. "Allegheny County Democrats, organized labor put their unity on display." *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*. October 30, 2019; Hurdle (2016).
- 16 Food & Water Watch (FWW). "Phantom Jobs: Fracking Job Creation Numbers Don't Add Up." March 20, 2020; FWW. "Exposing the Oil and Gas Industry's False Jobs Promise for Shale Gas Development." November 2011; FWW. "False Promises and Hidden Costs: The Illusion of Economic Benefits from Fracking." March 2012.
- 17 FWW Analysis of Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS). Quarterly Census of Employment & Wages. (QCEW). Annual Employment by NAICS Sector. Accessed February 2020. Available at www.bls.gov; See: FWW (2020).
- 18 American Petroleum Institute. "America's Progress at Risk: An Economic Analysis of a Ban on Fracking and Federal Leasing for Natural Gas and Oil Development." DM2020-002. 2020 at 5.
- 19 Malik, Naureen S. and Brian Eckhouse. "Cheap gas imperils climate fight by undercutting wind and solar." *Bloomberg News*. February 14, 2020; Eckhouse, Brian and Naureen S. Malik. "Every U.S. grid is getting a lot greener, except the one that matters." *Bloomberg News*. September 18, 2019.
- 20 Rickman, Dan S. et al. "Is shale development drilling holes in the human capital pipeline?" *Energy Economics*. Vol. 62. February 2017 at 283.
- 21 Douglas, Stratford and Anne Walker. West Virginia University. University of Colorado Denver. "Coal Mining and the Resource Curse in the Eastern United States." August 18, 2015 at 1; Jacobsen, Grant D. and Dominic P. Parker. "The economic aftermath of resource booms: Evidence from boomtowns in the American West." *Economic Journal*. Vol. 126, Iss. 593. June 2016 at 1092; Jacquet, Jeffery B. "Review of Risks to Communities from Shale Energy Development." *Environmental Science & Technology*. Vol. 48, Iss. 15. March 2014 at B; Haggerty, Julia et al. "Long-term effects of income specialization in oil and gas extraction: The U.S. West, 1980–2011." *Energy Economics*. Vol. 45. September 2014 at 186.
- Malin, Stephanie A. and Kathryn Teigen DeMaster. "A devil's bargain: Rural environmental injustices and hydraulic fracturing on Pennsylvania's farms." Vol. 47, Part A. October 2016 at 282; Morrone, Michele et al. "Environmental and health disparities in Appalachian Ohio: Perceptions and realities." Journal of Health Disparities Research and Practice. Vol. 7, Iss. 5. Winter 2014 at 67 to 69; Nicole, Wendee. "CAFOs and environmental justice: The case of North Carolina." Environmental Health Perspectives. Vol. 121, No. 6. June 2013 at A183; Wiygul, Robert B. et al. "Environmental justice in rural Communities." West Virginia Law Review. 96 W. Va. L. Rev. 40. Winter, 1993/1994 at 3.
- 23 Eisenberg, Ann M. "Beyond science and hysteria: Reality and perceptions of environmental justice concerns surrounding Marcellus and Utica shale gas development." *University of Pittsburg Law Review.* 2015 at 193 and 194.
- 24 Jambeck, Jenna R. et al. "Plastic waste inputs from land into the ocean." Science Magazine. Vol. 347, Iss. 6223. February 13, 2015 at 768.
- 25 Zheng, Jiajia and Sangwon Suh. "Strategies to reduce the global carbon footprint of plastics." *Nature Climate Change*. Vol. 9, Iss. 5. April 2019 at 374 and 375.
- 26 Ruhl, Christof. "The war on plastic will dent oil demand more than anticipated." *Financial Times*. February 17, 2019; Dafermos, Yannis et al. "Climate change, financial stability and monetary policy." *Ecological Economics*. Vol. 152. October 2018 at 219; Jones, Benjamin T. et al. "Food scarcity and state vulnerability: Unpacking the link between climate variability and violent unrest." *Journal of Peace Research*. Vol. 54, Iss. 3. May 2017 at 335 and 337.

- 27 Molotch, Harvey. "The city as a growth machine: Toward a political economy of place." American Journal of Sociology. Vol. 82, No. 2. September 1976 at 320.
- 28 Bain, Kaitlin. "PA campaign would target out-of-state workers for local plant jobs." *Beaumont Enterprise*. November 24, 2019; Marshall, Abbey. "Study suggests potential link between fracking industry and increased sexually transmitted infections." *Columbus Dispatch*. July 22, 2018; "Fracking: So where's the economic boom that was promised?" *Columbus Dispatch*. January 28, 2014.
- 29 Bain (2019).
- 30 Alter et al. (2019) at 3 and 6.
- 31 Ibid. at 6.
- 32 U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA). "Personal Income for Pennsylvania." September 24, 2019.
- 33 Alter et al. (2019) at 3.
- 34 Deto, Ryan. "Pittsburgh region's manufacturing employment at lowest point in modern history." Pittsburgh City Paper. November 20, 2019.
- 35 Moore, Daniel. "A frosty time for new hires in Pittsburgh region in December." *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*. January 29, 2019.
- 36 FWW analysis of U.S. BEA. CAGDP2 Gross domestic product (GDP) by county and metropolitan area. December 12, 2019; U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. Local Area Unemployment Statistics. 2010-2018. Accessed January 2020.
- 37 Starner, Ron. "Project activity climbs 32% in Pittsburgh." Site Selection. May 2019.
- 38 Cynamon, Barry Z. and Steven M. Fazzari. Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis. "Rising Inequality, Demand, and Growth in the US Economy." Working Paper. February 2015 at 1, 2, 13 and 14.
- 39 Priest, Tyler and Michael Botson. "Bucking the odds: Organized labor in Gulf Coast oil refining." *Journal of American History*. Vol. 99, Iss. 1. June 2012 at 109 and 110.
- 40 Cairó, Isabel and Jae Sim. Washington: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. "Income Inequality, Financial Crises, and Monetary Policy." May 2018 at Abstract and 3; Paul, Pascal. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco. "Historical Patterns of Inequality and Productivity around Financial Crises." Working Paper 2017-23. October 2018 at 25.
- 41 Patrick, Carlianne. "Jobless capital? The role of capital subsidies." Regional Science and Urban Economics. Vol. 60. September 2016 at 169.
- 42 Corkery, Michael. "A giant factory rises to make a product filling up the world: Plastic." *New York Times*. August 12, 2019.
- 43 Story, Louise. "As companies seek tax deals, governments pay high price." New York Times. December 1, 2012; Levy, David L. "Offshoring in the new global political economy." Journal of Management Studies. Vol. 42, Iss. 3. May 2005 at 685 and 692; Devereux, Michael P. et al. University of Warwick. "Do Countries Compete over Corporate Tax Rates?" No. 642. April 2002 at Abstract.
- 44 Suttles, Chrissy. "Bruce Mansfield workers cut, 49 layoffs expected in February." *Beaver County Times*. October 31, 2019; FWW. Analysis of BLS. QCEW. Annual Employment by NAICS Sector 31-33. Accessed June 2020; Schwartzel, Erich. "Officials: Billion-dollar tax break for Beaver County cracker plant will be costly." *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*. August 29, 2012.
- 45 "No regrets in Pennsylvania over VW deal: Officials sanguine after car maker jilted plant." (1987); Hundley, Tom. "Tough lessons taught in VW plant's closing." *Chicago Tribune*. July 14, 1988.
- 46 "No regrets in Pennsylvania over VW deal: Officials sanguine after car maker jilted plant." Associated Press. December 2, 1987.
- 47 Hundley (1988).
- 48 Detrow, Scott. "Support for Shell tax break in Monaca, but skepticism over job claims." StateImpact Pennsylvania. June 20, 2012.

- 49 Detrow (June 20, 2012); Patalon III, William. "Pa. shipyard owner reverses course; Kvaerner says change won't scuttle revival of Philadelphia yard; Shipbuilding." *Baltimore Sun*. April 14, 1999.
- 50 Petersen, Nancy. "Ridge, Vanguard laud historic deal." *Philadelphia Inquirer*. May 23, 2000.
- 51 Brey, Jared. "Details on \$40 million in state and city grants for new Comcast tower." *PlanPhilly*. January 19, 2014.
- 52 S&P Global Platts. "Royal Dutch Shell." 2019; Fortune Global 500 2019. Top 10 by revenue. Accessed November 2019.
- 53 Good Jobs First. Megadeals. Updated August 2019. Accessed November 2019.
- 54 Stonesifer, Jared. "Shell officially starts construction on \$6 billion ethane cracker plant." *Beaver County Times*. November 8, 2017.
- 55 Detrow (June 14, 2012).
- 56 Legere, Laura. "Pa. Legislature adopts \$670 million tax credit bill for petrochemical plants." *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*. July 14, 2020.
- 57 Puko, Timothy. "State pegs cracker jobs at 10k give or take 10k." *TribLIVE*. June 27, 2012.
- 58 Detrow, Scott. "Shell tax break: American Chemistry Council conducted jobs study for eight other states, too." *StateImpact Pennsylvania*. June 19, 2012.
- 59 Puko (2012).
- 60 Begos, Kevin. "Shell holds meeting on Pennsylvania plant proposal." Associated Press. April 16, 2014; Maher, Kris. "Pittsburgh area to get Shell plant." Wall Street Journal. March 16, 2012.
- 61 Mishkin, Kate. "The Appalachian Storage Hub is mired in secrecy. Residents say they're already worried about what they do know." *Charleston* (WV) *Gazette-Mail*. August 3, 2019; Litvak, Anya. "Shell cracker is a harbinger of things to come, drawing in President Trump and protesters." *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*. August 13, 2019.
- 62 Frazier, Reid. "Wolf: Ethane cracker will bring jobs for years." StateImpact Pennsylvania. July 22, 2016.
- 63 Frazier, Reid. "Wolf's support for petrochemicals raises climate worries as Pennsylvania tries to cut carbon emissions." *StateImpact Pennsylvania*. February 3, 2020.
- 64 Myers, Valerie. "Doing business with the 'cracker' plant." *Erie Times-News*. March 12, 2017.
- 65 Corkery (2019).
- 66 Shell. [Press release]. "Shell makes good progress on site work for Pennsylvania petrochemicals complex." April 6, 2017.
- 67 Shell. [Press release]. "Shell achieves engineering milestone at Pennsylvania petrochemicals complex." October 10, 2018.
- 68 Sneath, Sara. "Chemical companies are building their plants overseas and shipping them back in. They still get state tax breaks." New Orleans Times-Picayune and Advocate, ProPublica. December 6, 2019.
- 69 Frazier, Reid. "Trump lifts steel quota Toomey said could hurt Shell's ethane cracker." StateImpact Pennsylvania. August 31, 2018; Suttles, Chrissy. "Shell: New steel tarifs would have 'little-to-no' impact on Beaver County cracker plant." Beaver County Times. December 3, 2019.
- 70 Osdol, Paul Van. "Investigation finds thousands of tons of foreign steel used at cracker plant." *Pittsburgh Action News-4*. July 8, 2019.
- 71 Amercian Chemistry Council. "The Potential Economic Benefits of an Appalachian Petrochemical Industry." May 2017 at 9.
- 72 Grant, Julie. "Environmental groups secure air protections at proposed Ohio cracker plant." StateImpact Pennsylvania. September 26, 2019; Bantillo, Pearl. "Market intelligence: PTT plans \$4bn spend." ICIS News. November 3, 2017; Tan, Christine and Saheli Roy Choudhury. "Thailand's PTT scouts for cheap oil assets." CNBC. March 3, 2016.
- 73 U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). "Ethane Storage and Distribution Hub in the United States." November 2018 at 33.

- 74 Compston-Strough, Jennifer. "JobsOhio investing another \$20M toward proposed cracker plant." Steubenville (OH) Herald-Star. February 11, 2020.
- 75 Wongruang, Piyaporn and Tunya Sukpanich "No slick explanation for huge PTT oil spill." *Bangkok Post*. August 4, 2013.
- 76 U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ). [Press release]. "Rolls-Royce plc agrees to pay \$170 million criminal penalty to resolve foreign corrupt practices act case." January 17, 2017; United States of America v. Rolls-Royce plc. NO. 16-CR-247, 4, 5, 9, 10 and 12. (S.D. OH 2016).
- 77 Suttles, Chrissy. "ExxonMobil corp. considering cracker plant in Beaver County." *Beaver County Times*. October 16, 2019; Gough, Paul J. "Exclusive: Here's where else ExxonMobil could locate a petrochemical plant in region." *Pittsburgh Business Times*. November 7, 2019.
- 78 Gough, Paul J. and Tim Schooley. "Exclusive: ExxonMobil corp. scouting for potential cracker site in Beaver County." *Pittsburgh Business Times*. October 15, 2019;
- 79 Shogren, Elizabeth and Robert Benincasa. "Baton Rouge's Corroded, Overpolluting Neighbor: Exxon Mobil." NPR. May 30, 2013.
- 80 National Labor Relations Board. Administrative decision. ExxonMobil Research & Engineering Company, Inc. and Independent Laboratory Employees Union, Inc. Case 22-CA-218903. Annandale, New Jersey. June 12, 2019 at 41 and 42.
- 81 Gough, Paul J. "Year in Preview: Region's petrochemical industry could grow larger." *Pittsburgh Business Times*. December 31, 2019.
- 82 Legere, Laura. "Legislature approves natural gas tax credit to lure petrochemical makers to Pennsylvania." *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*. February 5, 2020.
- 83 Klibanoff, Eleanor. "Beaver County 'upskills' to prepare for Shell plant." *StateImpact Pennsylvania*. June 21, 2016.
- 84 Myers (2017).
- 85 Klibanoff (2016).
- 86 Morrison, Oliver. "Aliquippa residents question if the promised boom from the Shell cracker plant will benefit them." *PublicSource*. November 15, 2019; Ricciutti, Gerry. "A tour through Beaver County's massive Shell Chemical plant." *WKBN-Beaver County*. September 23, 2019.
- 87 Morrison (2019).
- 88 Myers (2017); Toland, Bill. "In desperate 1983, there was nowhere for Pittsburgh's economy to go but up." *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*. December 23, 2012.
- 89 Lee, Neil and Stephen Clarke. "Do low-skilled workers gain from high-tech employment growth? High-technology multipliers, employment and wages in Britain." *Research Policy*. Vol. 48, Iss. 9. November 2019 at 1.
- 90 Patrick and Stephens (2019) at 22; Slattery, Cailin and Owen Zidar. "Evaluating state and local business incentives." Journal of Economic Perspectives. Vol. 34, No. 2. Spring 2020 at 94 and 95.
- 91 Frazier, Reid. "DEP approves ethane pipeline to Shell's cracker in Beaver County." *StateImpact Pennsylvania*. December 20, 2018.
- 92 Kunkel, Cathy and Tom Sanzillo. Institute for Energy Economics and Financial Analysis. "Risks Associated with Natural Gas Pipeline Expansion in Appalachia. Proposed Atlantic Coast and Mountain Valley Pipelines Needs Greater Scrutiny." April 2016 at 14 and 15.
- 93 Litvak, Anya. "DOJ is building a criminal case around Energy Transfer's Revolution pipeline explosion." *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*. February 26, 2020.
- 94 Detrow (June 14, 2012).
- 95 Schwartzel (2012).
- 96 PFM Group Consulting, LLC. Prepared for Beaver County, Pennsylvania. "Multi-Year Financial Management Plan." July 27, 2018 at 4 to 6.
- 97 Frosch, Dan and Russell Gold. "How 'orphan' wells leave states holding the cleanup bag." *Wall Street Journal*. February 25, 2015.

- 98 Lee, Mike. "Millions of abandoned wells spark climate, safety fears." E&E News. May 20, 2019; Kang, Mary et al. "Identification and characterization of high methane-emitting abandoned oil and gas wells." PNAS. Vol. 113, No. 48 November 2016 at 13636 and 13639.
- 99 Sisk, Amy. "State orders Pennsylvania companies to plug more than 1,000 abandoned oil, gas wells." StateImpact Pennsylvania. July 25, 2018.
- 100 Stonesifer, Jared. "Report: Beaver County's air still among worst in nation." The Times (Beaver, Pennsylvania.) April 19, 2017; Downing, Bob. "Eco-group working to make invisible air pollution from Ohio's Utica Shale visible to everyone." Akron Beacon Journal. November 23, 2015; "Ethane cracker plant in Belmond County would add pollution to Ohio Valley." The Intelligencer (Wheeling, West Virginia). April 28, 2015.
- 101 Hamilton, James and Drew Liming. BLS. "Careers in Wind Energy." September 2010 at 3; Hill, Derek and Jill Engle-Cox. Clean Energy Manufacturing Analysis Center. NREL. "Energy Innovation Clusters and their Influence on Manufacturing: A Case Study Perspective." NREL/TP-6A50-68146. September 2017 at 7, 8 and 14.
- 102 Davenport, Coral. "Major climate report describes a strong risk of crisis as early as 2040." *New York Times*. October 7, 2018.
- 103 Diesendorf, Mark and Ben Elliston. "The feasibility of 100% renewable electricity systems: A response to critics." Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. Vol. 93. October 2018 at 318 and 320 to 323; Brown, T. W. et al. "Response to 'Burden of proof: A comprehensive review of the feasibility of 100% renewable-electricity systems." Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. Vol. 92. September 2018 at 840 and 841; Iaconangelo, David. "Cheap batteries could soon replace gas plants study." E&E News. March 26, 2019; Schmidt, Oliver et al. "Projecting the future levelized cost of electricity storage technologies." Joule. Vol. 3, Iss. 1. January 2019 at 85 and 86; Lazard. "Lazard's Levelized Cost of Energy Analysis Version 12.0." November 2018 at 7.
- 104 Wiser, Ryan and Mark Bolinger. United States Department of Energy. Office of Energy Eficiency & Renewable Energy. "2018 Wind Technologies Market Report." DOE/GO-102019-5191. August 2019 at xi.
- 105 Schmidt, Oliver et al. "Projecting the future levelized cost of electricity storage technologies." Joule. Vol. 3, Iss. 1. January 2019 (2019) at 85 and 86; Crampes, Claude and Jean-Michel Trochet. "Economics of stationary electricity storage with various charge and discharge durations." Journal of Energy Storage. Vol. 24. August 2019 at 100746; Botha, C. D. and M. J. Kamper. "Capability study of dry gravity energy storage." Journal of Energy Storage. Vol. 23. June 2019 at 160, 161 and 173.
- 106 Strauch, Yonatan. "Beyond the low-carbon niche: Global tipping points in the rise of wind, solar, and electric vehicles to regime scale systems." *Energy Research & Social Science*. Vol. 62. April 2020 at 5, 7, 9 and 10.
- 107 Energy Futures Initiative. National Association of State Energy Officials. (NASEO). "Energy Employment by State." 2019 at 271.
- 108 BLS. "Fastest Growing Occupations." September 4, 2019.
- 109 FWW. "Working On Climate: How Union Labor Can Power A Green Future." September 2019 at 6.
- 110 Energy Futures Initiative (2019) at page 230; DOE. National Association of State Energy Officials. (NASEO). "2019 US Energy and Jobs Report State Charts." 2019 at 270;
- 111 FWW analysis of manufacturing investment announcements. See methodology on page 9.
- 112 Lantz, Eric et al. National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL). "State Clean Energy Policies Analysis (SCEPA): State Policy and the Pursuit of Renewable Energy Manufacturing." Technical Report. NREL/TP-6A2-46672. February 2010 at 14.
- 113 Lantz, Eric et al. (2010) at 15.
- 114 Ibid. at 16; Barbose, Galen. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.
  "U.S. Renewables Portfolio Standards: 2019 Annual Status Update." July 2019 at 39.

#### Cracked: The Case For Green Jobs Over Petrochemicals In Pennsylvania

- 115 Keyser, David and Suzanne Tegen. NREL. "The Wind Energy Workforce in the United States: Training, Hiring, and Future Needs." NREL/TP-6A20-73908. July 2019 at iii.
- 116 Hamilton and Liming (2010) at 6.
- 117 Lantz, Eric et al. (2010) at 2.
- 118 Wiser and Bolinger (2019) at viii, 15 and 19.
- 119 American Wind Energy Association (AWEA). "Wind Brings Jobs and Economic Development to all 50 States." March 9, 2017 at 12; Hamilton and Liming (2010) at 4.
- 120 AWEA (2017) at 13.
- 121 Oteri, Frank et al. NREL. DOE. "2017 State of Wind Development in the United States by Region." NREL/TP-5000-70738. April 2018 at 71.
- 122 Weckselblatt, Gary. "Gamesa falls short on jobs." Bucks County Courier Times. April 7, 2011.
- 123 Wiser and Bolinger (2019) at 3 and 15.
- 124 Keyser and Tegen (2019) at 5.
- 125 BLS. Occupational Employment and Wages, May 2018. 49-9081 Wind Turbine Service Technicians. Accessed December 2019. Available at www.bls.gov.
- 126 Rink, Matthew. "Could Ohio project lead to offshore wind turbines in Erie County." Go Erie. April 22, 2019; DOE. Office of Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy. "WINDExchange Wind Energy in Pennsylvania Maps & Data." Accessed May 2020. Available at https://windexchange.energy.gov/states/pa.
- 127 Oteri, Frank et al.(2018) at 84; NREL. WindExchange. "Pennsylvania 80-Meter Wind Resource Map." No date. Accessed May 2020. Available at https://windexchange.energy.gov/maps-data/107.
- 128 Lopez, Anthony et al. NREL. "U.S. Renewable Energy Technical Potentials: A GIS- Based Analysis." NREL/TP-6A20-51946. July 2012 at Table 6 at 14 and at Table 7 at 15.
- 129 Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission. "2017 Annual Report. Alternative Energy Portfolio Standards Act of 2004." 2018 at 27 and 28.
- 130 Solar Foundation. "National Solar Jobs Census 2019." February 2020 at 13 and 36.
- 131 Platzer, Michaela D. Congressional Research Service. "U.S. Solar Photovoltaic Manufacturing: Industry Trends, Global Competition, Federal Support." R42509. January 27, 2015 at 3 to 5.
- 132 Ibid. at 3 and 5.
- 133 Roselund, Christian. "First Solar to build the biggest solar factory in the Western Hemisphere – in Ohio." PV Magazine. April 26, 2018; Roselund, Christian. "First Solar is sold out through mid-2021." PV Magazine. October 24, 2019.
- 134 NSG Group. [Press release]. "NSG group announces location of new U.S. manufacturing plant." October 19, 2018.
- 135 Flessner, Dave. "America's largest solar panel plant opens in the Carpet Capital of the World." *Chattanooga Free Press Times*. September 20, 2019.
- 136 Lopez, Anthony et al. (2012) at Table 2 at 10, Table 3 at 11 and Table 4 at 12.

- 137 Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP). "Pennsylvania's Solar Future Plan." November 2018 at 3.
- 138 Dixon, Mark et al. "Re-Imagine! Beaver County." Spring 2019 at 3 and 6.
- 139 PA DEP (2018) at 4.
- 140 BLS. Occupational Employment and Wages, May 2018. 47-2231 Solar Photovoltaic Installers. Accessed December 2019.
- 141 PA DEP (2018) at Table 13 at B-27.
- 142 National Association of State Energy Officials and Energy Futures Initiative (NASEO and EFI). "U.S. Energy and Employment Report." May 2018 at 76 and 77.
- 143 U.S. Congress Joint Economic Committee. Ranking Member Martin Heinrich Minority Staff Report. "Energy Efficiency Powers Economic Opportunity." June 2017 at 2; Fulton, Mark et al. The Rockefeller Foundation and Deutsche Bank Climate Change Advisors. "United States Building Energy Efficiency Retrofits: Market Sizing and Financing Models." March 2012 at 32; Wei, Max et al. "Putting renewables and energy efficiency to work: How many jobs can the clean energy industry generate in the US?" Energy Policy. Vol 38. 2010 at 928; Daudon, James et al. Environmental Defense Fund. Meister Consultants Group. "In Demand: Clean Energy, Sustainability and the New American Workforce." 2018 at 18.
- 144 NASEO and EFI (2018) at 77.
- 145 Gómez, Laura. "Andersen window and door manufacturer to bring hundreds of jobs to Goodyear." Arizona Republic. September 12, 2018.
- 146 DOE. Office of Energy Efficency & Renewable Technology. Geothermal Technologies Program. "Buried Treasure: The Environmental, Economic, and Employment Benefits of Geothermal Energy." NREL/ TP-6A20-51946. November 2004 at 13; Liu, Xiaobing et al. Oak Ridge National Laboratory. "GeoVision Analysis Supporting Task Force Report: Thermal Applications— Geothermal Heat Pumps." ORNL/TM-2019/502. April 2019 at 1, 4 and 10.
- 147 Shoemaker, Mary and David Ribeiro. American Council for an Energy Efficient Economy. "Through the Local Government Lens: Developing the Energy Efficiency Workforce." Report U1805. June 2018 at 3.
- 148 Scott, Michael J. et al. "The impact of DOE building technology energy efficiency programs on U.S. employment, income, and investment." *Energy Economics*. Vol. 30. 2008 at 2297; Martinson, Karin, Alexandra Stanczyk and Lauren Eyster. Urban Institute. "Low-Skill Workers' Access to Quality Green Jobs." Brief 13. May 2010 at 3.
- 149 FWW."Building Climate Justice: Investing in Energy Efficiency for a Fair and Just Transition." March 2019 at 15.
- 150 Stonesifer (November 2017).
- 151 Garret-Peltier, Heidi. "Green versus brown: Comparing the employment impacts of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and fossil fuels using an input-output model." *Economic Modeling*. Vol. 61. February 2017 at 6.

**Food & Water Watch** mobilizes regular people to build political power to move bold and uncompromised solutions to the most pressing food, water and climate problems of our time. We work to protect people's health, communities and democracy from the growing destructive power of the most powerful economic interests.



(202) 683-2500 foodandwaterwatch.org • info@fwwatch.org Copyright © September 2020 Food & Water Watch